### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

LIST C	F ACR	ONYMS	хi
EXEC	JTIVE S	SUMMARY	S-1
CHAP	TER 1.0	—PROJECT DESCRIPTION, LOCATION, AND ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	4.4
		ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	1-1
1.1	<b>Projec</b>	t Objectives	1-1
1.2	<b>Projec</b>	t Description Overview	1-1
	1.2.1	Project Component Parts	1-2
	1.2.2	Technical, Economic, and Environmental Characteristics	1-29
1.3	<b>Projec</b>	t Location	1-30
1.4	<b>Enviro</b>	nmental Setting	1-31
	1.4.1	Regional Context	1-31
		Planning Context	1-32
	1.4.3	On-site Characteristics	1-32
1.5	<u>Intend</u>	ed Uses of the EIR	1-33
	1.5.1	Matrix of Project Approvals/Permits	1-34
	1.5.2	Related Environmental Review and Consultation Requirements	3 1-34
1.6	<u>Projec</u>	t Inconsistencies with Applicable Regional and General Plans	1-34
1.7	List of	Past, Present, and Reasonably Anticipated Future Projects	
	<u>in th</u>	e Project Area	1-35
1.8	<b>Growt</b>	h Inducing Impacts	1-36
	1.8.1	Growth Inducement Due to General Plan Amendment	1-37
	1.8.2	<b>Growth Inducement Due to Construction of Additional Housing</b>	j 1-38
	1.8.3	Growth Inducement Due to Economic Stimulus	1-40
	1.8.4	Growth Inducement Due to Construction/Improvements of	
		Roadways	1-40
	1.8.5	Growth Inducement Due to Extension of Public Facilities	1-41
	1.8.6	Conclusion	1-42
CHAP	TER 2.0	—SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF	
		THE PROPOSED PROJECT	2.1-1
2.1	<u>Visual</u>	Resources	2.1-1
	2.1.1	Existing Conditions	2.1-1
	2.1.2	<b>Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance</b>	2.1-8
	2.1.3	Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.1-22
	2.1.4	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.1-23
	2.1.5	Mitigation	2.1-24
	2.1.6	Conclusion	2.1-24

2.2	Air Qu	<u>uality</u>	2.2-1
	2.2.1	Existing Conditions	2.2-1
	2.2.2	<b>Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance</b>	2.2-7
	2.2.3	Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.2-22
	2.2.4	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.2-23
	2.2.5	Mitigation	2.2-24
	2.2.6	Conclusion	2.2-25
2.3	<u>Trans</u>	portation/Traffic	2.3-1
	2.3.S	Executive Summary	2.3-1
	2.3.1	Existing Conditions	2.3-5
	2.3.2	<b>Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance</b>	2.3-12
	2.3.3	Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.3-31
	2.3.4	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.3-38
	2.3.5	Mitigation	2.3-43
	2.3.6	Conclusion	2.3-46
2.4	Agric	ultural Resources	2.4-1
	2.4.1	Existing Conditions	2.4-1
	2.4.2	<b>Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance</b>	2.4-8
	2.4.3	Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.4-21
	2.4.4	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.4-23
	2.4.5	Mitigation	2.4-24
	2.4.6	Conclusion	2.4-25
2.5	Biolog	gical Resources	2.5-1
	2.5.1	Existing Conditions	2.5-1
	2.5.2	<b>Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance</b>	2.5-15
	2.5.3	Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.5-27
	2.5.4	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.5-29
	2.5.5	Mitigation	2.5-29
	2.5.6	Conclusion	2.5-34
2.6	Cultu	ral Resources	2.6-1
	2.6.1	Existing Conditions	2.6-1
	2.6.2	<b>Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance</b>	2.6-12
	2.6.3	Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.6-16
	2.6.4	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.6-17
	2.6.5	Mitigation	2.6-17
	2.6.6	Conclusion	2.6-22
2.7	Hazards and Hazardous Materials		
	2.7.1	Existing Conditions	2.7-1
	2.7.2	<b>Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance</b>	2.7-12
	2.7.3	Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.7-34
	2.7.4	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.7-36
	2.7.5	Mitigation	2.7-36
	2.7.6	Conclusion	2.7-36

2.8	<u>Noise</u>		2.8-1
		Existing Conditions	2.8-1
		Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance	2.8-4
		Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.8-20
	2.8.4	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.8-23
	2.8.5	•	2.8-25
	2.8.6	Conclusion	2.8-29
2.9	<u>Signif</u>	icant Irreversible Environmental Changes Resultant from	
	<u>Projec</u>	ct Implementation	2.9-1
CHAF	PTFR 3.0	0—ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS FOUND NOT TO BE	
<b>U</b> 117 ti		SIGNIFICANT	3-1
3.1	Effect	s Found Not Significant as Part of the EIR Process	3-1
	3.1.1	<u> </u>	3-1
	3.1.2	Greenhouse Gases	3-16
	3.1.3	Hydrology and Water Quality	3-38
	3.1.4	Land Use Planning	3-56
	3.1.5	Public Services	3-76
	3.1.6	Recreation	3-89
	3.1.7	Utilities and Service Systems	3-99
3.2	<b>Effect</b>	s Found Not Significant During Initial Study	3-120
	3.2.1	Agriculture and Forestry Resources – Forest Lands and	
		Timberland	3-120
	3.2.2	Cultural Resources – Paleontology	3-120
	3.2.3	Hazards and Hazardous Materials – Airports	3-120
	3.2.4	Land Use Planning – Divide an Established Community	3-120
	3.2.5	Mineral Resources – Loss of Availability	3-121
	3.2.6	Noise - Airports	3-121
	3.2.7	Population and Housing – Displacement	3-122
	3.2.8	Transportation and Housing – Air Traffic	3-122
CHAF	PTER 4.0	0—PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	4-1
4.1	Ratior	nale for Alternative Selection	4-1
4.2	Analy	sis of the No Project/No Development Alternative	4-5
4.3	Analy	sis of the Legal Lot Alternative	4-8
4.4	Analy	sis of the General Plan Consistent Alternative	4-12
4.5	Analy	sis of the Reduced Footprint Alternative	4-17
4.6	_	sis of the Reduced Intensity Alternative	4-23
4.7	-	sis of the 2.2C Findings	4-26
4.8	_	of Impacts	4-31
4.9		onmentally Superior Alternative	4-31

CHAPTER 5.0—LIST OF REFERENCES 5-		
CHAPT	ER 6.0—LIST OF EIR PREPARERS AND PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONTACTED	6-1
FIGURE	ES .	
1-1:	Proposed Regional Categories	1-84
1-2:	Proposed Valley Center and Bonsall Community Plan Land Us	
4.0.	Designations	1-85
1-3:	Proposed Zoning	1-86
1-4:	Specific Plan Map	1-87
1-4a:	Conceptual Lotting of Lilac Hills Ranch Specific Plan	1-88
1-5: 1-6:	Proposed School Site Fire Protection Plan	1-89 1-90
1-0. 1-7:		1-90
1-7. 1-8:	Project Internal Circulation Trails Plan	1-91
1-0. 1-9:	Open Space and Parks	1-92
1-3. 1-10:	Park Plan	1-93
1-10:	On-site Water System	1-95
1-12:	On-site Sewer Collection System	1-96
1-13:	Proposed Storm Drains	1-97
1-14:	Landscape Plan	1-98
1-15:	Fence and Wall Concepts	1-99
1-16:	Fuel Management Setback Zones	1-100
1-17:	Phasing Plan	1-101
1-18:	Conceptual Grading Plan	1-102
1-19:	Blasting Plan	1-103
1-20:	Regional Location	1-104
1-21:	Vicinity Map	1-105
1-22:	Topography Map	1-106
1-23:	Surrounding Community Planning Areas	1-107
1-24:	Local Cumulative Impact Study Area	1-109
1-25:	Regional Cumulative Impact Area	1-111
2.1-1:	RPO Steep Slopes on Project Site	2.1-26
2.1-2:	Project Viewshed	2.1-27
2.1-3:	Key Observation Point 6	2.1-28
2.1-4:	Key Observation Points 7 and 8	2.1-29
2.1-5:	Key Observation Point 9	2.1-30
2.1-6:	Key Observation Point 10	2.1-31
2.1-7:	Key Observation Points 11a and 11b	2.1-32
2.1-8:	Key Observation Point 16	2.1-33
2.1-9:	Key Observation Point 16	2.1-34
2.1-10:	Key Observation Point 1	2.1-35
2.1-11: 2.1-12:	Key Observation Point 2 Key Observation Point 3	2.1-36 2.1-37
2.1-12.	Key Observation Points 4 and 5	2.1-37
2.1-13.	Key Observation Points 12a and 12b	2.1-39
2.1-14.	Key Observation Points 13 and 14	2.1-39
2.1-16:	Key Observation Point 17a	2.1-41

# FIGURES (cont.)

2.1-17:	Key Observation Points 17b and 18	2.1-42
2.1-18:	Key Observation Points 19 and 20	2.1-43
2.1-19:	Key Observation Points 21a and 21b	2.1-44
2.1-20:	Key Observation Points 21c and 21d	2.1-45
2.1-21:	Cross Sections A, B, C, and D	2.1-46
2.1-22:	Cross Sections E, F, G, and H	2.1-47
2.1-23:	Manufactured Slopes	2.1-48
2.3-1:	Transportation and Traffic Study Area	2.3-79
2.3-2:	Existing Roadway Network	2.3-81
2.3-3:	Existing Roadway ADT	2.3-83
2.3-4a:	Existing Intersection Peak Hour Traffic (Intersections 1-13)	2.3-85
2.3-4b:	Existing Intersection Peak Hour Traffic (Intersections 14-25)	2.3-86
2.3-5a:	•	
	(Scenario A)	2.3-87
2.3-5b:	Roadway Average Daily Traffic Volumes – Existing Plus Project	
	(Scenario B)	2.3-88
2.3-5c:	Roadway Average Daily Traffic Volumes – Existing Plus Project	
	(Scenario C)	2.3-89
2.3-5d:	Roadway Average Daily Traffic Volumes – Existing Plus Project	
	(Scenario D)	2.3-90
2.3-5e:	Roadway Average Daily Traffic Volumes – Existing Plus Project	
	(Build-out)	2.3-91
2.3-6:	Existing Plus Project Roadway ADT	2.3-93
2.3-7a:	, ,	2.3-95
2.3-7b:	Existing Plus Project Intersection Peak Hour Traffic (Intersections 14-25)	2.3-96
2.3-7c:	Existing Plus Project Intersection Peak Hour Traffic (Intersections 25-31)	2.3-97
2.3-8:	Existing Plus Cumulative Projects Plus Project Roadway ADT	2.3-99
2.4-1:	Existing On-site Agricultural Resources	2.4-31
2.4-2:	Soil Types within the Project Site	2.4-33
2.4-3:	FMMP Farmland within the Project Site	2.4-35
2.4-4:	Pesticide Application Permits	2.4-37
2.4-5:	Williamson Act Contracts and Agricultural Preserves	2.4-39
2.4-6:	Off-site Agricultural Resources	2.4-41
2.4-7:	Off-site Compatibility/Agricultural Adjacency	2.4-43
2.5-1:	Project Area in Relation to Draft North County MSCP	
	(MSCP Currently Not Approved)	2.5-45
2.5-2a:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Species Locations (Northern Project Area)	2.5-47
2.5-2b:	Vegetation Communities/Land Cover Types and Sensitive	
	Species Locations (Southern Project Area)	2.5-49
2.5-2c:	Vegetation Communities/Land Cover Types and Sensitive Species	
	Locations (Off-site Project Area)	2.5-51
2.5-3a:	ACOE Waters of the U.S.	2.5-53
2.5-3b:	CDFW/RWQCB State Waters	2.5-55
2.5-3c:	County of San Diego RPO Wetlands	2.5-57
2.5-3d:	Off-site Jurisdictional Areas	2.5-59
2.7-1:	Project Gated Access	2.7-41
2.7-2:	Emergency Service Routes Map	2.7-42
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

2.3-2:

2.3-3:

2.3-4:

2.3-5:

rable of	Contents	
FIGURE	ES (cont.)	
2.8-1:	Noise Measurement Locations	2.8-43
2.8-2a:	On-site Noise Level Contours	2.8-45
2.8-2b:	On-site Noise Level Contours	2.8-47
2.8-3:	Off-site NSLU	2.8-49
3.1-1:	Location of Existing Wells on Project Site	3-55
3.1-2:	Existing Regional Categories within the Project site	3-73
3.1-3:	Existing Community Plan Land Use Designations within the Project Site	3-74
3.1-4:	Existing Zoning within the Project Site	3-75
4-1:	Legal Lot Alternative	4-36
4-2:	General Plan Consistent Alternative	4-37
4-3:	Reduced Footprint Alternative	4-38
4-4:	Reduced Intensity Alternative	4-39
TABLES	3	
S-1:	Summary of Significant Effects and Mitigation Measures to Reduce the Effects	S-11
1-1:	Land Use Summary	1-44
1-1. 1-2:	Grading Quantities by Phase	1-26
1-2: 1-3:	Summary of Additional Project Design Considerations	1-34
1-4:	Matrix of Project Approvals/Permits	1-45
1-5:	Cumulative Projects (Localized)	1-69
1-6:	Cumulative Projects	1-70
2.2-1:	Ambient Air Quality Standards	2.2-30
2.2-2:	Ambient Air Quality Summary—San Diego Air Basin	2.2-32
2.2-3:	Summary of Air Quality Measurements Recorded at the Camp	
	Pendleton, Del Mar-Mira Costa College, and the Escondido-East	
	Valley Parkway Monitoring Stations	2.2-33
2.2-4:	Unmitigated Maximum Daily Construction Emissions (On- and Off-site)	2.2-11
2.2-5:	Operational Source Emissions	2.2-13
2.2-6:	Construction + Operational Emissions	2.2-15
2.2-7:	Maximum CO Concentrations at SR-76/Old River Road/East Vista Way	2.2-20
2.2-8:	Trip Volumes for Signalized Intersections with a Change Over 2,000 ADT	2.2-22
2.2-9:	Maximum CO Concentrations at SR-76/Old River Road/East Vista Way	2.2-29
2.2-10:	Mitigated Construction Emissions (On- And Off-Site)	2.2-29
2.2-11:	Mitigated Operational Emissions	2.2-31
2.2-12:	Mitigated Construction + Operational Emissions	2.2-32
2.3-1:	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results - Existing Conditions	2.3-53

Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Results - Existing Conditions

Two-Lane Highway Level of Service Results – Existing Conditions Freeway Segment Level of Service Results - Existing Conditions

Segments: Allowable Increases on Congested Road Segments

Measures of Significant Project Impacts to Congestion on Road

2.3-55

2.3-12

2.3-56

2.3-13

# TABLES (cont.)

2.3-6:	Measures of Significant Project Impacts to Congestion: Allowable Increases on Two-Lane Highways with Signalized Intersection	2.3-14
2.3-7:	Spacing Over One Mile  Measures of Significant Project Impacts to Congestion: Allowable Increases on Two-Lane Highways with Signalized Intersection	2.3-14
	Spacing Under One Mile	2.3-14
2.3-8:	Measures of Significant Project Impacts to Congestion on Intersections: Allowable Increases on Congested Intersections	2.3-15
2.3-9:	Lilac Hills Ranch Project Trip Generation Phase E – Build-Out	2.3-57
2.3-10:	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results Existing Plus Project (Phase E – Build-out) Conditions	2.3-58
2.3-11:	Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Results Existing Plus Project (Traffic Scenario E, Build-out) Conditions	2.3-59
2.3-12:	Two-Lane Highway Level of Service Results Existing Plus Project (Phase E – Build-out) Conditions	2.3-62
2.3-13:	Freeway Segment Level of Service Results Existing Plus Project (Phase E – Build-out) Conditions	2.3-63
2.3-14:	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results Existing Plus	0
	Cumulative Projects Plus Project Conditions	2.3-65
2.3-15:	Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Results Existing Plus	
	Cumulative Projects Plus Project Conditions	2.3-67
2.3-16:	Two-Lane Highway Level of Service Results Existing Plus Cumulative	
	Projects Plus Project Conditions	2.3-69
2.3-17:	Freeway Segment Level of Service Results Existing Plus Cumulative Projects Plus Project Conditions	2.3-70
2.3-18:	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results Build-Out Under the	
	Existing General Plan Without the Project (without Road 3)	2.3-71
2.3-19:	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results Build-Out Under the	
	Existing General Plan With the Project (without Road 3)	2.3-73
2.3-20:	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results Build-out under the	
	Existing General Plan without the Project (with Road 3)	2.3-75
2.3-21:	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results Build-out under the	
	Existing General Plan with the Project (with Road 3)	2.3-77
2.4-1:	On-site Soil Resources	2.4-27
2.4-2:	Acres of FMMP Farmland On-site and as a Percent of the Entire	
	Project Site	2.4-5
2.4-3:	LARA Model Results	2.4-9
2.4-4:	Interpretation of LARA Model Results	2.4-10
2.4-5:	Lilac Hills Ranch - Cumulative Projects Evaluation	2.4-28
2.4-6:	Acres of FMMP Farmland Within the Cumulative Project Area	2.4-22
2.5-1:	On-site Habitat and Vegetation Communities	2.5-38
2.5-2:	Off-site Habitat and Vegetation Communities	2.5-39
2.5-3:	On-site Jurisdictional Waters and Project Impacts	2.5-39
2.5-3. 2.5-4:	Summary of Direct On-site Impacts to Habitats and Vegetation	2.0-00
Z.J- <del>-</del>	Communities by Project Phase	2.5-40
2.5-5a:	Phase 1 Mitigation for On-site Impacts to Habitats and Vegetation	2.0-40
∠.∪-0a.	·	25/1
0 E EL.	Communities  Phase 2 Mitigation for On site Impacts to Habitate and Varieties	2.5-41
2.5-5b:	Phase 2 Mitigation for On-site Impacts to Habitats and Vegetation	2 5-41
	COMMUNICES	/ n-41

# TABLES (cont.)

Communities	2.5-42
Phase 4 Mitigation for On-site Impacts to Habitats and Vegetation Communities	2.5-42
Phase 5 Mitigation for On-site Impacts to Habitats and Vegetation	
	2.5-43
• •	2.7-11
·	2.7-38
	2.8-2
	2.8-3
	2.8-33
	2.8-34
	2.8-35
·	2.8-37
<u> </u>	2.8-38
·	
	2.8-39
Cumulative Off-Site Traffic CNEL at 100 Feet from Centerline	2.8-40
Global Warming Potentials and Atmospheric Lifetimes (Years) of	
Common GHGs	3-17
California GHG Emissions by Sector in 1990, 2000, 2004, and 2008	3-25
	3-26
	3-27
	3-32
Runoff Volumes	3-49
2005-2011 Response Data for DSFPD	3-80
•	3-82
,	3-109
Lilac Hills Ranch CEQA Alternatives	4-32
Comparison of Alternative Impacts to Proposed Project Impacts	4-33
Comparison of Summary Alternatives Relative to Project Objectives	4-34
	Communities Phase 4 Mitigation for On-site Impacts to Habitats and Vegetation Communities Phase 5 Mitigation for On-site Impacts to Habitats and Vegetation Communities Transitional Climate Zone Typical Weather Conditions Summary of Environmental Site Assessments Conducted Noise Compatibility Guidelines Noise Compatibility Guidelines Noise Measurement Summary On-Site Future Noise Levels Changes in Off-Site Traffic CNEL at 100 Feet from Centerline Future Off-Site Noise Levels at Specific Local Receiver Locations Guidelines for Determining the Significance of Groundborne Vibration and Noise Impacts Guidelines for Determining the Significance of Groundborne Vibration and Noise Impacts for Special Buildings Cumulative Off-Site Traffic CNEL at 100 Feet from Centerline Global Warming Potentials and Atmospheric Lifetimes (Years) of Common GHGs California GHG Emissions by Sector in 1990, 2000, 2004, and 2008 San Diego County GHG Emissions by Sector in 2006 San Diego County Community Baseline and Projected GHG Emissions Total Annual Estimated GHG Emissions for Project without Design Features and with Design Features in 2020 Runoff Volumes 2005-2011 Response Data for DSFPD Student Generation Rates for School Districts Serving the Project Estimated Wastewater Recycled Water Generation Lilac Hills Ranch CEQA Alternatives Comparison of Alternative Impacts to Proposed Project Impacts

### **TECHNICAL APPENDICES**

A: NOP/Initial Study
B: NOP Comments

C: Visual Resources ReportD: Air Quality Technical Report

E: Traffic Impact Study

F: Agricultural Resources Report G: Biological Resources Report

H-1: Cultural Resources Inventory and Assessment

H-2: Cultural Resources Report Addendum

I: Hazardous MaterialsJ: Fire Protection PlanK: Evacuation Plan

L: Vector Management Plan

M: Noise Report N-1: Geology Report

N-2: Supplemental Geology Report

O: Greenhouse Gas Report

P: Preliminary Hydrogeologic Assessment

Q: Water Supply Assessment and Verification Report

R: Public Facility Availability Forms (Fire, Sewer, and Water)

S: Wastewater Management Alternatives

T: Water Service Report

U-1: Storm Water Management Plan for the Master TM and Implementing TM U-2: Preliminary Drainage Study for the Master TM and Implementing TM

U-3: Hydromodification Management Plan for Implementing TM

THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY BLANK.